

Exercise 6: Statistical inference (III)

Siyue Yang

06/01/2022

Part 1: Wald, Score, and likelihood ratio test statistics

Write out the likelihood function, and derive the test statistics of the Wald, Score, and likelihood ratio test.

1. $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f(x | \theta)$

$$f(x | \theta) = \theta \exp(-x\theta) \mathbb{I}\{x > 0\}$$

2. $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f(x | \theta)$

$$f(x | \theta) = \theta c^\theta x^{-(\theta+1)} \mathbb{I}\{x > c\} \quad (\text{Pareto distribution})$$

where c is a known constant and θ is unknown.

Solution

1. The log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = n (\log \theta - \theta \bar{X}_n)$$

which yields

$$l'(\theta) = n \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \bar{X}_n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

The MLE $\hat{\theta}_n$ is obtained by setting $l'(\theta) = 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}$$

and the Fisher information can be obtained by

$$I(\theta) = \theta^{-2}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\theta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} - \theta_0 \right) \\ R_n &= \theta_0 \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} - \bar{X}_n \right) = \frac{W_n}{\theta_0 \bar{X}_n} \\ \Delta_n &= n \{ \bar{X}_n (\bar{X}_n - \theta_0) - \log(\theta_0 \bar{X}_n) \} \end{aligned}$$

2. If let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)$, the log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = n(\log \theta + \theta \log c) - (\theta + 1)S_n$$

which yields,

$$l'(\theta) = n \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \log c \right) - S_n, \quad l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

The MLE can be obtained as

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{n}{S_n - n \log c}$$

and Fisher information as

$$I(\theta) = \theta^{-2}$$

Thus, the three test statistics are

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\theta_0} \left(\frac{n}{S_n - n \log c} - \theta_0 \right) \\ R_n &= \sqrt{n} \theta_0 \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} + \log c \right) - S_n \right) \\ \Delta_n &= n \left(\log \frac{\hat{\theta}_n}{\theta_0} + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \log c \right) - (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) S_n \end{aligned}$$

Part 2: Test equivalence

Let θ be a scalar parameter and suppose we test

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0.$$

Let W be the Wald test statistic and let λ be the likelihood ratio test statistic. Show that these tests are equivalent in the sense that

$$\frac{W^2}{\lambda} \xrightarrow{P} 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hint: Use a Taylor expansion of the log-likelihood $\ell(\theta)$ to show that

$$\lambda \approx \left(\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{n} \ell''(\hat{\theta}) \right)$$

Solution

Throughout this proof, it is assumed that the density $f(x; \theta)$ appearing in the likelihood is sufficiently regular. A Taylor expansion reveals

$$\ell(\theta_0) = \ell(\hat{\theta}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \ell'(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 \ell''(\hat{\theta}) + O\left((\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^3 \right).$$

Note, in particular, that $\ell'(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ since $\hat{\theta}$ is an MLE. Therefore,

$$\lambda = 2 \log \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta})}{\mathcal{L}(\theta_0)} \right) = - (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 \ell''(\hat{\theta}) + O\left((\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^3 \right)$$

Moreover,

$$W^2 = \frac{(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2}{\widehat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})^2} = n I(\hat{\theta}) (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\lambda}{W^2} = \frac{n^{-1}\ell''(\hat{\theta})}{-I(\hat{\theta})} + O(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$$

Under the null hypothesis, $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$. Therefore, by two applications of Slutsky theorem, $1/I(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow 1/I(\theta_0)$ where

$$I(\theta_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta_0)}{\partial \theta^2} \right]$$

Since

$$\ell''(\theta) = \sum_n \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_n; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$$

by the weak law of large numbers, $n^{-1}\ell''(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{P} I(\theta_0)$ under the null hypothesis. The result now follows by Slutsky theorem.

Part 3: Omics

The p-value is uniformly distributed when the null hypothesis is true.

Let T denote the random variable with cumulative distribution function $F(t) \equiv \Pr(T < t)$ for all t . Assuming that F is invertible we can derive distribution of the random p-value $P = F(T)$ as follows:

$$\Pr(P < p) = \Pr(F(T) < p) = \Pr(T < F^{-1}(p)) = F(F^{-1}(p)) = p,$$

from which we can conclude that the distribution of P is uniform on $[0, 1]$.