

# Module 5: Metric spaces III

## Operational math bootcamp



Statistical Sciences  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Ichiro Hashimoto

University of Toronto

July 15, 2025

# Last time

Looked at open and closed sets.

Discussed sequences, which includes Cauchy sequences and subsequences:

- Convergent sequence:  $x_n \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_\epsilon$
- Cauchy sequence:  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$
- Proved that a convergent sequence is Cauchy
- Discussed complete metric spaces, where all Cauchy sequences converge (like  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual metric, absolute value)
- Proved that a sequence converges to  $x$  if and only if all subsequences converge to  $x$

# Outline for today

- Continuity
- Equivalent metrics
- Density and separability

# Continuity

## Definition

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $x_0 \in X$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .  $f$  is *continuous* at  $x_0$  if for every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  that converges to  $x_0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ .

We say that  $f$  is continuous if it is continuous at every point in  $X$ .

## Theorem

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $x_0 \in X$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$
- (ii) for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$
- (iii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x_0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$

- (i)  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$
- (ii) for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$
- (iii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x_0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

Suppose (i) is false.

$\exists \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\exists x$  s.t.  $d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$  and  $d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon$ .

Then  $\forall n$ , by taking  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $\exists x_n$  s.t.  $d_X(x_n, x_0) < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon$ .

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , by (i) we must have  $\underline{f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)}$ . ↑ Contradiction

This is a contradiction since we also have  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon$  for  $\forall n$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

(ii) says  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $x \in \{x \in X : d_X(x, x_0) < \delta\}$  implies  $\frac{d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon}{f(x) \in B_\varepsilon(f(x_0))}$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$  implies  $\frac{f(x) \in B_\varepsilon(f(x_0))}{x \in f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x_0)))}$   $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $B_\delta(x_0) \subset f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x_0)))$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

Let  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ .

By (iii),  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $B_\delta(x_0) \subset f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x_0)))$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $\exists n_\delta$  s.t.  $n \geq n_\delta$  implies  $x_n \in B_\delta(x_0) \subset f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x_0)))$

therefore  $f(x_n) \in B_\varepsilon(f(x_0)) \therefore d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$ .

Thus, we were able to show  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_\varepsilon$  s.t.  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$  implies  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$ .

Therefore,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$

## Corollary

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is continuous
  - (ii) if  $U \subseteq Y$  is open, then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open
  - (iii) if  $F \subseteq Y$  is closed, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed
- ← standard way to define continuity for a more general space.*



We need the following results about sets and functions:

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq Y$ . Then

$$\textcircled{1} A \subseteq B \implies f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$$

$$\textcircled{2} f^{-1}(Y \setminus A) = X \setminus f^{-1}(A)$$

*Proof.* Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $U \subseteq Y$  be open. We want to show  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open.

Let  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ . Since  $f(x) \in U$  and  $U$  is open,

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_\varepsilon(f(x)) \subset U. \implies f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x))) \subset f^{-1}(U).$$

$$\text{By (iii) in the previous theorem, } \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x))) \subset f^{-1}(U).$$

Since this is true for any  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $f^{-1}(U)$  must be open. //

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)  $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \underline{B_\varepsilon(f(x))}$  is an open set in  $Y$ .  
can apply (ii)

By (ii)  $f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x)))$  is an open set in  $X$ .

Then,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $B_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(f(x)))$ .

Since this holds for  $\forall x$ ,  $f$  must be continuous. //

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Let  $F \subset Y$  be closed.

Since  $F^c$  is open,  $f^{-1}(F^c) = X \setminus f^{-1}(F)$  is open by (i).

Thus,  $f^{-1}(F)$  must be closed.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $U \subset Y$  be open.

Since  $U^c$  is closed,  $f^{-1}(U^c) = X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$  is closed by (i).

Thus,  $f^{-1}(U)$  must be open.

## Definition

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

- $f$  is *uniformly continuous* if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $d_X(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ , we have  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon$
- $f$  is *Lipschitz continuous* if there exists a  $K \geq 0$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  we have  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq K d_X(x_1, x_2)$

## Proposition

Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

$f$  is Lipschitz continuous  $\Rightarrow f$  is uniformly continuous  $\Rightarrow f$  is continuous

Proof is one of your exercises.

# Contraction Mapping Theorem

## Definition

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $x^* \in X$  is a *fixed point* of  $f$  if  $f(x^*) = x^*$ .

## Definition

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$ .  $f$  is a *contraction* if there exists a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$ .  $\leq d(x, y)$

Observe that a function is a contraction if and only if it is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $K < 1$ .

## Theorem (Contraction Mapping Theorem)

Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction and the metric space  $X$  is complete. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ .

every Cauchy sequence converges

## Example

Let  $f : [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}] \rightarrow [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$  be defined by the mapping  $x \mapsto x^2$ . Assume we use the standard Euclidean metric,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ .  $f$  has a unique fixed point because

$$|x^2 - y^2| = |x - y| \underbrace{|x + y|}_{\leq \frac{2}{3}} \leq \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}_{\in [0, 1)} |x - y|$$

Thus, we can apply contraction mapping theorem.

# Equivalent metrics

## Definition (Equivalent metrics)

Two metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on a set  $X$  are *equivalent* if the identity maps from  $(X, d_1)$  to  $(X, d_2)$  and from  $(X, d_2)$  to  $(X, d_1)$  are continuous.

## Proposition


Two metrics  $d_1, d_2$  on a set  $X$  are equivalent if and only if they have the same open sets or the same closed sets.

$$U \text{ is open in } (X, d_1) \iff U \text{ is open in } (X, d_2)$$

## Definition

Two metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on a set  $X$  are strongly equivalent if for every  $x, y \in X$ , there exists constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such

$$\alpha d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq \beta d_1(x, y).$$

If two metrics are strongly equivalent then  they are equivalent. The proof of this is one of the exercises.

*$d_1, d_2$  are same up to constant factor*



## Example

We show that the Euclidean distance (induced by 2-norm) and the metric induced by the  $\infty$ -norm are equivalent on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\|x - z\|_{\infty} = \max_i |x_i - z_i| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - z_i)^2} = \textcircled{1} \|x - z\|_2$$

$$\|x - z\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - z_i)^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \max_j (x_i - z_i)^2} = \textcircled{\sqrt{n}} \|x - z\|_{\infty}$$

*constant factors.*

Can you think of an example that we've seen of a metric that isn't equivalent to the Euclidean metric?

# Density

## Definition

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is called *dense* if  $\overline{A} = X$ .

Recall that  $\overline{A} = \{x \in X : \forall \varepsilon > 0, B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ .

From this

$A \subseteq X$  is dense.  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

Why are dense sets important?

# Examples

1.  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$

$\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$

2. Let  $X$  be a set and define  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y, \\ 1, & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

The only dense set in  $X$  is  $X$  itself  
(exercise)



## Definition

A metric space  $(X, d)$  is separable if it contains a countable dense subset.

## Example:

$\mathbb{Q}$   $\subset \mathbb{R}$   
dense and countable

Thus  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  
separable metric  
space.

## Example

Define  $\ell_\infty = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : x_n \in \mathbb{R}, \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| < \infty\}$ , the space of bounded real valued sequences. Endow  $\ell_\infty$  with a metric induced by the supremum norm, namely  $d((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n - y_n|$ . Then  $\ell_\infty$  is **not separable** with respect to the topology induced by this metric.

Note: this proof relies on content from the cardinality section. Specifically, Cantor's theorem gives us that  $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$  for any set  $A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $S \subset \ell_\infty$  is a countable dense subset.

$$\text{Let } S = \{ (x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : k \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

$$\begin{array}{llllll} k=1 : & x_1^1 & x_2^1 & x_3^1 & x_4^1 & \dots \\ k=2 : & x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & x_4^2 & \dots \\ k=3 : & x_1^3 & x_2^3 & x_3^3 & x_4^3 & \dots \\ k=4 : & x_1^4 & x_2^4 & x_3^4 & x_4^4 & \dots \end{array}$$

Proof continued.

Define a new sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x_n^n| > 1 \\ |x_n^n| & \text{if } |x_n^n| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

By definition  $\forall n, |y_n| \leq 1$ . Thus  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$ .

Furthermore, definition of  $y_n$  implies

$$d((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \geq 1$$

This holds for any  $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S$ .

By taking  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then  $B_\varepsilon((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \cap S = \emptyset$

Since  $S$  is dense, this is a contradiction.                     

(proof ②)

For any  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , define  $e^I = (e_n^I)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$  by

$$e_n^I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin I. \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $I \neq J$ ,  $\exists n$  s.t.  $|e_n^I - e_n^J| = 1$ .

Therefore,  $d(e^I, e^J) = 1$  if  $I \neq J$ .

Thus, if  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(e^I) \cap B_\varepsilon(e^J) = \emptyset$  if  $I \neq J$ .

So, all  $B_\varepsilon(e^I)$  are disjoint.

Let  $S \subset \ell_\infty$  be a dense subset.

Then  $B_\varepsilon(e^I) \cap S \neq \emptyset$  by definition

But since all  $B_\varepsilon(e^I)$  are disjoint

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq \left| \left\{ \{I : I \subset \mathbb{N}\} \right\} \right| = \text{the number of } B_\varepsilon(e^I) \\ &= \underbrace{|\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|}_{\substack{\text{the power set} \\ \text{of } \mathbb{N}}} > |\mathbb{N}| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $S$  cannot be countable.

# References

Jiří Lebl (2022). *Basic Analysis I*. Vol. 1. Introduction to Real Analysis.  
<https://www.jirka.org/ra/realanal.pdf>

Runde, Volker (2005). *A Taste of Topology*. Universitext. url:  
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/0-387-28387-0>