

Statistical Sciences

# DoSS Summer Bootcamp Probability Module 8

Ichiro Hashimoto

University of Toronto

July 24, 2024

□ ▶ < @ ▶ < 볼 ▶ < 볼 ▶ 를 ∽ Q @ July 24, 2024 1/18

# Recap

#### Learnt in last module:

- Stochastic convergence
  - $\triangleright$  Convergence in distribution
  - Convergence in probability
  - Convergence almost surely
  - $\triangleright$  Convergence in  $L^p$
  - Relationship between convergences



# Outline

### • Convergence of functions of random variables

- Slutsky's theorem
- ▷ Continuous mapping theorem
- Laws of large numbers
  - ⊳ WLLN
  - ▷ SLLN
  - > Glivenko-Cantelli theorem
- Central limit theorem



**Recall: Stochastic convergence** If  $X_n \to X$ ,  $Y_n \to Y$  in some sense, how is the limiting property of  $f(X_n, Y_n)$ ?



**Recall: Stochastic convergence** If  $X_n \to X$ ,  $Y_n \to Y$  in some sense, how is the limiting property of  $f(X_n, Y_n)$ ?

#### Convergence of functions of random variables (a.s.)

Suppose the probability space is complete, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$ , then for any real numbers a, b,

• 
$$aX_n + bY_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} aX + bY;$$

•  $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} XY_.$ 

#### Remark:

• Still require all the random variables to be defined on the same probability space



### Convergence of functions of random variables (probability)

Suppose the probability space is complete, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ , then for any real numbers a, b,

• 
$$aX_n + bY_n \xrightarrow{P} aX + bY;$$

• 
$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{P} XY_.$$

#### Remark:

• Still require all the random variables to be defined on the same probability space



### Convergence of functions of random variables $(L^p)$

Suppose the probability space is complete, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{L^p} Y$ , then for any real numbers a, b,

• 
$$aX_n + bY_n \xrightarrow{L^p} aX + bY;$$

#### Remark:

• Still require all the random variables to be defined on the same probability space



#### Remark: Convergence in distribution is different.

### Slutsky's theorem

If 
$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$$
 and  $Y_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} c$  (*c* is a constant), then

• 
$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c;$$

• 
$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX;$$

• 
$$X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c$$
, where  $c \neq 0$ .



### Remark: Convergence in distribution is different.

### Slutsky's theorem

If 
$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$$
 and  $Y_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} c$  (*c* is a constant), then

• 
$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c;$$

• 
$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX;$$

• 
$$X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c$$
, where  $c \neq 0$ .

### Remark:

• The theorem remains valid if we replace all the convergence in distribution with convergence in probability.



**Remark**: The requirement that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} c$  (*c* is a constant) is necessary.



**Remark**: The requirement that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} c$  (*c* is a constant) is necessary.

#### **Examples:**

 $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \, Y_n = -X_n$ , then

• 
$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \ Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1);$$

•  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} 0;$ 

• 
$$X_n Y_n = -X_n^2 \xrightarrow{d} -\chi^2(1);$$

•  $X_n/Y_n = -1$ .



#### Continuous mapping theorem

Let  $X_n$ , X be random variables, if  $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(X \in D_g) = 0$ , then

• 
$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$$
;  
•  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$ ;

• 
$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X \quad \Rightarrow \quad g(X_n) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} g(X) ;$$

where  $D_g$  is the set of discontinuity points of  $g(\cdot)$ .



#### Continuous mapping theorem

Let  $X_n$ , X be random variables, if  $g(\cdot):\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(X\in D_g)=0$ , then

• 
$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X);$$

• 
$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$$
;

• 
$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X \quad \Rightarrow \quad g(X_n) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} g(X)$$
;

where  $D_g$  is the set of discontinuity points of  $g(\cdot)$ .

### Remark:

- If  $g(\cdot)$  is continuous, then ...
- If X is a continuous random variable, and  $D_g$  only include countably many points, then ...



### Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN)

If  $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables,  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(|X_i|) < \infty$ , then

$$\bar{X} = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n} \quad \stackrel{P}{\to} \quad \mu.$$

#### Remark:

A more easy-to-prove version is the  $L^2$  weak law, where an additional assumption  $Var(X_i) < \infty$  is required.

#### Sketch of the proof:



#### A generalization of the theorem: triangular array

### Triangular array

A triangular array of random variables is a collection  $\{X_{n,k}\}_{1 \le k \le n}$ .

 $X_{1,1} \\ X_{2,1}, X_{2,2} \\ X_{3,1}, X_{3,2}, X_{3,3} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n,1}, X_{n,2}, \cdots, X_{n,n}$ 

**Remark:** We can consider the limiting property of the row sum  $S_n$ .



## Law of Large Numbers

### $L^2$ weak law for triangular array

Suppose  $\{X_{n,k}\}$  is a triangular array,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{n,k}, \mu_n = \mathbb{E}(S_n)$ , if  $\sigma_n^2/b_n^2 \to 0$ , where  $\sigma_n^2 = Var(S_n)$  and  $b_n$  is a sequence of positive real numbers, then

$$\frac{S_n-\mu_n}{b_n} \quad \xrightarrow{P} \quad 0.$$

#### **Remark:**

The  $L^2$  weak law for i.i.d. random variables is a special case of that for triangular array.



**Proof:** 



< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ 少 Q (~ July 24, 2024 13 / 18

**Proof:** 

#### **Remark:**

A more generalized version incorporates truncation, then the second-moment constraint is relieved.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be an i.i.d. sequence satisfying  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{E}(|X_i|) < \infty$ , then  $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ .

Remark: The proof needs Borel-Cantelli lemma.



□ ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ 를 ∽ 였 July 24, 2024 14 / 18

### Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)

Let 
$$X_1, X_2, \cdots$$
 be an i.i.d. sequence satisfying  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{E}(|X_i|) < \infty$ , then  $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ .

#### Remark: The proof needs Borel-Cantelli lemma.

#### Glivenko-Cantelli theorem

Let  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  i.i.d. with distribution function  $F(\cdot)$ , and let  $F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \le x)$ , then as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F(x) - F_n(x)| \to 0, \quad a.s.$ 



**Proof:** 



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ = つへで July 24, 2024 15/18

### Limit Theorems and Counterexamples

**Recall:** For the law of large numbers to hold, the assumption  $E|X| < \infty$  is crucial.

Law of Large Numbers fail for infinite mean i.i.d. random variables

If  $X_1X_2,...$  are i.i.d. to X with  $E|X_i| = \infty$ , then for  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $P(\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n/n \in (-\infty,\infty)) = 0$ .

**Proof: Omitted** 



## **Central Limit Theorem**

### What is the limiting distribution of the sample mean?

#### Classic CLT

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$ ,  $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n-\mu)}{\sigma} \quad \stackrel{d}{\to} \quad \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

#### Remark:

- The proof involves characteristic function.
- A more generalized CLT is referred to as "Lindeberg CLT".



### **Central Limit Theorem**

#### **Example:**

Suppose  $X_i \sim Bernoulli(p)$ , i.i.d., consider  $Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$ , then by CLT,  $Z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  asymptotically.



## **Monotone Convergence Theorem**

#### Monotone Convergence Theorem

If  $X_n \ge c$  and  $X_n \nearrow X$ , then  $EX_n \nearrow EX$ 

#### Usage:



## **Dominate Convergence Theorem**

#### Dominated Convergence Theorem

If  $X_n \to X$  a.s. and  $|X_n| \leq Y$  a.s. for all *n* and *Y* is integrable, then  $EX_n \to EX$ 

#### Usage:



### **Delta Method**

#### More about CLT: Delta method

Suppose  $X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $EX_n = 0$ ,  $VAR(X_n) = \sigma^2 > 0$ . Let g be a measurable function that is differentiable at 0 with  $g'(0) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}\left(g\left(rac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}X_{k}}{n}-g(0)
ight)
ight)
ightarrow {\sf N}(0,\sigma^{2}g'(0)^{2})$$
 weakly.

**Proof under stronger assumption:** Here, we suppose g is continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If you are interested in a general proof refer to Robert Keener's *Theoretical Statistics*.



### **Problem Set**

**Problem 1:** Prove that on a complete probability space, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$ , then  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X + Y$ .

**Problem 2:** Prove that on a complete probability space, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ , then  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{P} X + Y$ .

**Problem 3:** A bank teller serves customers standing in the queue one by one. Suppose that the service time  $X_i$  for customer *i* has mean  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 2$  (minutes) and  $Var(X_i) = 1$ . We assume that service times for different bank customers are independent. Let *Y* be the total time the bank teller spends serving 50 customers. Find  $\mathbb{P}(90 < Y < 110)$ .

